




Feedback for RRMP Literature Review Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 296359
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments:
Knowledge and Understanding weighted 25%, Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly?	Excellent	There is very clear intrinsic knowledge of the subject through the discussion. There is application of theory one comment here is that with regard to theoretical application this will need to be more extensive if this particular subject is taken through to the capstone project. Overall a coherent and very focused subject discussion around topics that have been targeted with targeted research which is good practice.
Criticality weighted at 25%, Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	Very good	Overall, very good level of criticality with some investigation into the pros and cons of the discussion. Again moving forward if this project is to be taken forward for the capstone module, then it will be advisable to really investigate deeper with regard to the pros and cons of the actual research itself. This approach will help to develop debate and discussion.
Structure and Presentation weighted at 10%: Is the presentation well organised?	Excellent	Excellent structure and presentation with regard to the oral presentation and supporting PowerPoint slides. Clear time planning and thought has gone into the way that the PowerPoint presentation has been developed. The content is logical and stepwise. The actual presentation itself is completed to a very good standard however the actual delivery of the presentation could have been slower.
Presentation and Communication Skills weighted at 30%: Is the presentation clearly introduced and concluded? Is the presentation well-paced and timed? Is the information presented clearly and concisely? Is the presentation style lively, fluent and engaging?	Very good	Very good standard regarding presentation and communication skills. As discussed in 'structuring presentation', the actual presentation of the topic could have been paced a little better and it would have been beneficial if you had slowed down your oral presentation. Please bear this in mind moving forward. The concept was clearly introduced and concluded there was some good concepts regarding moving the project forward and how this would be developed for example if you took this forward to the capstone project. There was concise information delivered, delivery could have been slower with more vocal intonation, which could have engaged the listener of the presentation a little more.
Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%:	Very good	Very good use of research resources although they could have been broader and more extensive overall there's clearly a very good intrinsic knowledge of this subject. Moving



<p>Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly? Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%: Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?</p>		<p>forward, when applying research engage in deep research regarding theory. You have identified future theory that you would like to engage with and this is positive. Moving forward then applying that continuous citation and expanding upon long reference lists would be advisable. The transcript aligns with the oral presentation which is good practice.</p>
<p>Overall</p>		
<p>Positives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excellent organisation of the presentation • Generally speaking excellent knowledge of the topic although some of this does rely on intrinsic knowledge. Do remember to develop the breadth of your research using theory for future summative; for example the capstone project • Overall a very good outcome 		
<p>Points for development:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When completing a summative of this nature moving forward, please ensure that you are more paced in the delivery the oral presentation. Content was a little fast and would have benefited from a more paced approach • Develop deeper research for future summative • Rely less on intrinsic knowledge of the topic. 		